

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT F COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
50,562		unt repaire in the first of the second of th		
Built with a construction of	The second transfer of the second sec	and an explanation was in	EXAMINER	
ो अन्तर्भव कर १००६ में इस्तु भिक्का है। या जिल्हा है के उन्हें के स्वर्ण के किया है है है है है है है है है है अन्वर्ण के पूर्व के प्राप्त की प्राप्त की है		in de transiste transista de la primera de la compania de la compania de la compania de la compania de la comp Procesión de compania de la compania	SAULDERS	
naka ili ya naka umusika saba ke	Section 2 of the New York	A CAR COLOR CALL TO COLOR BANKS CO.	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
n i an magni iz Nasa, Kilongsi.	e de la companya de l	Nakati kacamatan kac	towards to the result of security to the second	
The transfer was both to be seen			TE MAILED:	
•	INT	ERVIEW SUMMARY		
্রকর্মের মুক্তি নার্ক্ত জ্বলে । ক্রিক্ত স্থানিক । ব্রক্তি জ্বলে স্থানিক স্থানিক বিভাগ স্থানিক স্থানিক ।	n de la composition de la composition La composition de la	ক্ষিয়ে পৰ্য দেৱৰ সংক্ষা আৰু জিলা আৰু আৰু আছিছেল আছি । সংস্কৃতি মুক্তি কি নুক্তি কি আৰু সংক্ষা ।	on the first of the second of	
Il participants (applicant, applican	it's representative, PTO pers	7### HE BOTE A TWART OF NOT TWO THE COMPANIES TO FE DAY 1919 OF LIFE OF THE PROPERTY ONNE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE TWART OF THE ELAST OF THE TRANSPORT OF THE TRA	The control of the property of the control of the c	
	RTHY	(3)		
		rakur ozoben ili errende eta eta zirekonteko erre Bertinakoa <mark>(4)</mark> errakuren errendea eta errendea	etan un den in 1970 au <mark>stre G</mark> ood achd an T	
ate of Interview		Following the State State of the State of the State St	ting the state of	
/pei	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	capt applicant's representative).		
in .	. /		ren i sa en departe involve su tribus la competition de la competition de la competition de la competition de La communicación de la competition de	
chibit shown or demonstration co	nducted: ∐Yes Lano If	yes, brief description:	20 m	
			en e	
			er terre general er	
preement 🗆 was reached. 🗗	was not reached.		 A service of an execution of the service of a service of the service	
aim(s) discussed:	NONE		gradu takan mana kan propinsi da asa	
	AMALE	and the field of the second of		
entilication of prior art discussed:	NUNC			
: y . - 711 				
		title the company of the second	EXAMINAR	
escantion of the deneral nature of	r what was agreed to it an ac	preement was reached, or any other con		
		BE UNDERSTOOD THA	T ANY OBJECTED T	
JOICATED THAT	- IT 15 70	BE ALL SILVERS	the same from the contract of	
DEPENDENT CLA	IT IS TO	BE ALLOWASUE	IF REWRITTEN	
NEPENDENT CLA	IT IS TO		IF REWRITTEN	
N INDEPAUDE	IT IS TO IM WOULD OUT FORM IA	BR ALLOWASCE	LIMITATIONS OF	
DEPENDENT CLA	IT IS TO IM WOULD OUT FORM IA	BE ALLOWASUE	LIMITATIONS OF	
DEPENDENT CLA N INDEPENDS THE BASE CLA Value description, if necessary, a	IT IS TO IM WOULD OUT FORM IA	BR ALLOWAS LE UCLUDING ALL NY INTERVENING ats, if available, which the examiner agr	LIMITATIONS OF CLAIMS eed would render the claims allowable	
NEPENDENT CLA NEPEND	IT IS TO IM WOULD OUT FORM IA	BR ALLOWAS LE UCLUDING ALL NY INTERVENING ats, if available, which the examiner agr	IF REWRITTEN LIMITATIONS OF CLAIMS	
THE BASE CLA State of the control o	IM WOULD OUT FURM (A HIM AND A) and a copy of the amendment who	BR ALLUMAS (& JCLUDING ALL JY INTERVENING ats, if available, which the examiner agrich would render the claims allowable is	LIMITATIONS OF CLAIMS eed would render the claims allowable	
THE BASE CLA A fuller description, if necessary, a ust be attached. Also, where no etached.) It is not necessary for applications.	IT IS TO IM WOULD ON THE AND AN AMERICAN AND	BR ALDWAS (L. UCLUDING ALL VY INTERVENTAL ALL VY IN	LIMITATIONS OF CLAIM S eed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be	
A fuller description, if necessary, a ust be attached. Also, where no elached.) It is not necessary for applicantees the paragraph above has be	IM WOULD OUT FORM /A AND AND and a copy of the amendments when to provide a separate received to indicate to the separate received.	BR ALDWAS & JCLUDING ALL Y INTERVENTING Its, if available, which the examiner agrich would render the claims allowable is ord of the substance of the interview. The contrary A FORMAL WRITTEN RES	LIMITATIONS OF CLAIM Seed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be SPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION	
THE BASE CLA In tiller description, if necessary, a st be attached. Also, where no ached.) It is not necessary for applications the paragraph above has be NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLution has are ready been filed, AP	AND AND AND AND THE SUBSTANCE OF PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE M	BR ALDWAS & JCLUDING ALL Y INTERVENTING Its, if available, which the examiner agrich would render the claims allowable is ord of the substance of the interview. The contrary A FORMAL WRITTEN RES	LIMITATIONS OF CLAIMS eed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be SPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 1713.04). If a response to the last Office	
A fuller description, if necessary, a ust be attached. Also, where no a tached.) It is not necessary for applications the paragraph above has be NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLETION has are ready been filed, AP	AND AND AND AND THE SUBSTANCE OF PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE M	BR ALDWAS & JCLUDING ALL Y INTERVENTING ats, if available, which the examiner agrich would render the claims allowable is ord of the substance of the interview. THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section	LIMITATIONS OF CLAIMS eed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be SPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 1713.04). If a response to the last Office	
A fuller description, if necessary, a sust be attached. Also, where no extached. It is not necessary for applicant a strength of the paragraph above has be NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUSION THE INTERVIEW. Since the Examiner's interview.	and a copy of the amendment opy of the amendments when the provide a separate recent checked to indicate to the UDE THE SUBSTANCE OF PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE Modern of the substance of the substance of the public of the substance o	BR ALDWAS & JCLUDING ALL NY INTERVENTING Its, if available, which the examiner agrich would render the claims allowable is ord of the substance of the interview. THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section ONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE of any attachments) reflects a complete	LIMITATIONS OF LIMITATIONS OF CLAIM S eed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be SPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 1713.04). If a response to the last Office TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE response to each of the objections,	
A fuller description, if necessary, a ust be attached. Also, where no clached.) It is not necessary for applicantes the paragraph above has be NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLICTION has are ready been filed, AP JBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW Since the Examiner's interview rejections and requirements	and a copy of the amendment opy of the amendments when the provide a separate received the condition of the	BR ALDUAS (L. J.	LIMITATIONS OF CLAIM C eed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be EPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 1713.04). If a response to the last Office TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE response to each of the objections, re now allowable, this completed form	
A fuller description, if necessary, a ust be attached. Also, where no clached.) It is not necessary for applicantless the paragraph above has be NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLITION has are ready been filed, AP JBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW Since the Examiner's interview rejections and requirements	and a copy of the amendment opy of the amendments when the provide a separate received the substance of PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE Modern that may be present in the laponse requirements of the lates.	BR ALDWAS & JCLUDING ALL NY INTERVENTING Its, if available, which the examiner agrich would render the claims allowable is ord of the substance of the interview. THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section ONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE of any attachments) reflects a complete	LIMITATIONS OF CAIMS eed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be SPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 1713.04). If a response to the last Office TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE response to each of the objections, re now allowable, this completed form and from providing a separate record of	
THE BASE CLA In the standard of the standard	and a copy of the amendment of the laponse requirements of the laponse requirements of the laponse is also checked.	BR ALDUAS (L. J.	LIMITATIONS OF LIMITATIONS OF CLAIMS eed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be SPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 1713.04). If a response to the last Office TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE response to each of the objections, re now allowable, this completed form and from providing a separate record of	
A fuller description, if necessary, a ust be attached. Also, where no tached.) It is not necessary for application has are ready been filed, APUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW Since the Examiner's interview rejections and requirements is considered to fulfill the rest the interview unless box 1 above must sign this caminer Note: You must sign this	and a copy of the amendment of the laponse requirements of the laponse requirements of the laponse is also checked.	BR ALDUAS (L. J.	LIMITATIONS OF LIMITATIONS OF CLAIM S eed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be EPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 1713.04). If a response to the last Office TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE response to each of the objections, re now allowable, this completed form and from providing a separate record of DAVID SALINDERS	
A fuller description, if necessary, a ust be attached. Also, where no etached.) It is not necessary for applicantess the paragraph above has be NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUSION has are ready been filed, APUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW Since the Examiner's interview rejections and requirements is considered to fulfill the resi	and a copy of the amendment of the laponse requirements of the laponse requirements of the laponse is also checked.	BR ALDUAS (L. J.	LIMITATIONS OF CAIMS eed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be SPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 1713.04). If a response to the last Office TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE response to each of the objections, re now allowable, this completed form and from providing a separate record of	

ৰক্ষণ বিষয়ে কৰা কৰা বিষয়ে বিষয় প্ৰকাশ সংগ্ৰিক প্ৰতিষ্ঠান বিষয়ে আৰু বিষয়ে বিষ

A complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application <u>must be made of record in the application</u> whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

SALL SA GEMENT TOTAL

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filed</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is notifiedly before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

· 1978年中的教育解析中国教育设置的企业的设计

- -Serial Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- -An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy
 of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
 contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted;
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview if there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reagons of the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accuracy the examiner should place the indication "interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials and the examiner's initia

ART UNIT 182 ...